1		
	JOHN K. BUCHE (CA BAR NO. 239477)	
2	BYRON MA (CA BAR NO. 299706) BUCHE & ASSOCIATES, PC	
3	875 Prospect Street Suite 305	
	La Jolla, CA 92037	
4	Telephone: (713) 589-2214	
	Fax: (858) 459-9120	
5	Email: jbuche@buchelaw.com	
6	bma@buchelaw.com	
	SAMUEL A. SEHAM (NY BAR NO. 5768080	)) (pro hac vice)
7	LEE SEHAM (NY BAR NO. 2194306) (pro ho	
	SEHAM, SEĤAM, METZ & PETERSEN L	
8	199 Main Street, 7th Floor	
	White Plains, NY 10601	
9	Telephone: (914) 997-1346 Fax: (914) 997-7125	
10	Email: samuel.seham@gmail.com	
	ssmpls@aol.com	
11		
	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs Walter Spurlock and	l Andre Guibert
12		
13	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
14	(SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION)
15	WALTER SPURLOCK and ANDRE	Case No. 3:23-cv-4429
	GUIBERT,	Jury Trial Demanded
16	Plaintiffs,	
17		DECLARATION OF SAMUEL A. SEHAM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'
.	V.	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
18	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	CONSIDER WHETHER PORTIONS OF
	FRANCISCO, AIRPORT COMMISSION OF	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED
19	THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	COMPLAINT SHOULD BE SEALED
20	FRANCISCO, KEABOKA MOLWANE in	Astion Filed. Assessed 20, 2022
20	his individual capacity and official capacity as Aviation Security and Regulatory Compliance	Action Filed: August 28, 2023
21	Officer at the San Francisco International	
22	Airport, and JEFF LITTLEFIELD in his	
	individual capacity and official capacity as	
)	individual capacity and official capacity as Chief Operating Officer at San Francisco	
23	individual capacity and official capacity as	
23   24	individual capacity and official capacity as Chief Operating Officer at San Francisco	

24 ||

## ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER PORTIONS OF PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT SHOULD BE SEALED

- 1. I am an associate at Seham, Seham, Meltz & Petersen, LLP, 199 Main Street, White Plains, NY (10601), and counsel for Plaintiffs Walter Spurlock and Andre Guibert. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called upon, I could and would testify competently thereto under oath.
- 2. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(c)(1), I respectfully submit this statement in support of Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Portions of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint Should Be Sealed. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called upon, I could and would testify competently thereto under oath.
- 3. The decision to seal records is left to the discretion of the district court. *Hagestad v. Tragesser*, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing *Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 599 (1978)). Courts apply a "compelling reasons" standard, especially when considering motions to seal pleading documents. *See Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n*, 605 F.3d 665, 678 (9th Cir. 2010) (quoting *Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006)); *Pardi v. Tricida, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-00076-HSG, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 168633, at \*6 (N.D. Cal. Sep. 21, 2023) (collecting cases). "This standard derives from the common law right 'to inspect and copy public records and documents, including judicial records and documents." *Id.* (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178). "[A] strong presumption in favor of access is the starting point." *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178 (quotations omitted). *Green Renewable Organic & Water Holdings, LLC v. Bloomfield Invs., LLC*, No. 21-cv-07181-HSG, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 200954, at \*1-2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2023)
- 4. The documents attached hereto is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, which reflects information that has been designated "Confidential" by

1	Defendants, and Second Amended Complaint Exhibit G, which has been designated
2	"Confidential" by Defendants.
3	5. Plaintiffs have also filed a sealed version of a redlined Second Amended Complaint
4	to the extent it assists the Court. They do not request that the redlined version appear on the public
5	docket. An unredacted redlined version of the Second Amended Complaint has been shared with
6	Defendants counsel.
7	I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
8	the foregoing is true and correct.
9	Executed in White Plains, New York on February 2, 2024.
10	
11	By: <u>/s/ Samuel A. Seham</u>
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	